State Regulation and Sustainable Development: An Academic Inquiry into the Oversight of the Green Economy

Ali Raza Zaidi
GC University, Hyderabad.

Shoaib Khan
GC University, Hyderabad.

Hakim A. Junejo
GC University, Hyderabad.

Annam Jameel
SZABIST, Hyderabad Campus.

Hakim Ali Zardari
Administrative staff, Government College University, Hyderabad.

Najamuddin Sohu
Administrative staff, Government College University, Hyderabad.

Received on: 09-07-2024 Accepted on: 12-08-2024

Abstract:

The notion of a green economy has received significant attention from both environmentalists and economists, and it is increasingly being discussed by heads of state and finance ministers. The increase in interest is due to discontent with current economic models and tiredness caused by crises and environmental issues in recent decades. The shift to a green economy is viewed as economically and socially justifiable, with demands for further efforts from both the public and commercial sectors. The state's key responsibilities include amending green product regulations, eliminating obsolete subsidies, executing policy changes, developing new incentives, strengthening ecological infrastructure, refining economic processes, redirecting public investment, and adopting green public procurement.

Keywords: Sustainable Development, Green Economy

Introduction

Humanity has faced many simultaneous crises over the previous decade, including climate, biodiversity, fuel, food, and water, as well as current threats to the financial system and the broader economy. The increase in global climate-changing emissions creates a rising threat of fast climate change, with potentially severe effects. The volatility of gasoline costs, together with corresponding variations in food and commodity prices, highlights unsolved systemic vulnerabilities and hazards. Projections of volatile demand, particularly for fossil fuels, indicate the possibility of energy price volatility when the global economy recovers. Addressing the problem of feeding the estimated 9 billion people on Earth by 2050 demands urgent worldwide collaborative choices.

The scarcity of fresh water has emerged as a pervasive global challenge, and prognostications indicate an impending widening disparity between annual demand and the renewable supply by the year 2030. A staggering 2.6 billion individuals still grapple with inadequate sanitation facilities, while a staggering 883 million lack access to potable drinking water. This confluence of issues collectively undermines humanity's ability to sustain a universally high quality of life and attain the ambitious Millennium Development Goals. Simultaneously, these challenges intensify societal dilemmas, including unemployment, socioeconomic instability, and poverty, thereby imperilling overall social cohesion. While the root causes of these crises may diverge, a common thread binding them is the irrational allocation of capital resources. This prevailing issue underscores the imperative for a comprehensive reevaluation of current resource allocation strategies to foster a more sustainable and equitable global future.

Over the past two decades, substantial investments have poured into real estate, fossil fuel extraction, and structured financial assets, while relatively limited funds have been allocated to developing renewable energy, enhancing energy efficiency, bolstering public transport, and promoting sustainable agriculture, ecosystem protection, biodiversity, and soil and water conservation. Economic development and growth strategies have primarily encouraged the rapid accumulation of physical, financial, and human capital, sacrificing natural capital. This model, depleting global natural resources with often irreversible consequences, adversely affects current generations' well-being and poses significant environmental risks for future generations, evident in recent crises.

Current regulatory frameworks and market incentives contribute to inefficiencies in the allocation of capital, permitting businesses to undertake environmentally and socially responsible activities without external oversight. An increasing recognition of the importance of deliberate regulatory interventions, well-crafted policies, and strategic public investments is emerging, highlighting their potential to reshape the prevailing paradigm of private investment. This acknowledgment is substantiated by successful examples observed globally, with particular emphasis on achievements in developing nations. The imperative for targeted interventions underscores the need for a comprehensive reevaluation of existing approaches to foster responsible and sustainable business practices.

Research Methods and Materials

In essence, a green economy is an economic framework characterised by reduced carbon emissions, prudent resource utilisation, and alignment with societal wellbeing. It envisions a path of economic growth and job creation driven by smart public and private investments

aimed at lowering carbon emissions, mitigating pollution, improving energy and resource efficiency, and protecting biodiversity and ecosystem services. This implies catalysing and supporting such investments by targeted public expenditure, policy changes, and regulatory transformations. A trajectory of economic development adhering to ecological principles should strive to preserve, enhance, and, as circumstances require, restore natural capital—an indispensable economic resource and a source of public goods, especially critical for the socioeconomically disadvantaged segments whose sustenance is intricately tied to the environment. This developmental paradigm necessitates a comprehensive commitment to the sustainable management of natural resources, acknowledging their intrinsic value and pivotal role in fostering societal well-being. The emphasis lies on the prudent stewardship of ecological assets to ensure their perpetuity and continued provision of essential benefits to diverse communities.

A thoughtfully formulated regulatory framework holds the potential to elucidate entitlements and foster motivations conducive to the shift towards a green economy, concurrently dismantling barriers impeding environmentally conscious investments. This regulatory structure is poised to oversee activities incongruent with sustainable development, setting forth either minimum standards or categorical prohibitions on specific practices. Additionally, a resilient regulatory system serves to assuage uncertainties in legislation and mitigate business risks, thereby cultivating a climate of confidence among investors in the marketplace. Complementary to governmental regulations, industry self-regulation and voluntary agreements with corporations can alleviate the informational burdens and administrative costs borne by government agencies. This collaborative approach contributes to a more effective governance system, balancing regulatory oversight and corporate initiatives in the pursuit of sustainable economic practices.

Sustainable public procurement emerges as a potent instrument for bolstering markets dedicated to sustainable goods and services, wielding substantial influence, particularly in light of its noteworthy proportion of total government expenditures. For instance, in South Africa and Brazil, public procurement constitutes 34.7% and 46.89% of GDP, respectively. By implementing sustainable public procurement practices, governments can instigate sustained and substantial demand for environmentally friendly goods and services. This approach not only motivates companies to make enduring investments in innovation but also incentivizes manufacturers to curtail costs, thereby laying the groundwork for the extensive commercialization of green products and services. Eminent examples include the sustainable government procurement initiatives undertaken in Austria, Denmark, Finland, Germany, the Netherlands, Sweden, and the United Kingdom. This collaborative effort collectively achieved an average reduction of 25% in carbon monoxide emissions associated with procurement. Public procurement has further played a pivotal role in nurturing markets for "organic" food and beverages, low-fuel vehicles, and "sustainable" timber across Europe.

The prioritization of public investment and expenditure in sectors fostering the "greening" of the economy is unequivocal. Subsidies, when provided in the public interest or with positive external effects, wield substantial influence in propelling the shift toward a green economy. Green subsidies encompass measures like price support mechanisms, tax incentives, direct grants, and loan guarantees, serving diverse purposes—from swiftly preventing the freezing of funds in volatile assets to facilitating the creation of green infrastructure and the adoption

of green technologies. Tax incentives, applied to both consumption and production, emerge as a mechanism to stimulate private investment in a green economy

The application of net metering, widely adopted by several nations, proves to be a efficacious mechanism for promoting renewable energy sources and small-scale power generation. However, prudent limitations on government spending over time and the judicious introduction of subsidies become imperative to thwart undue lobbying for their perpetuation. An analysis by the International Energy Agency accentuates the critical need for stable and predictable support mechanisms, ensuring investor confidence while mandating timely cessation to spur innovation. Nevertheless, the provision of subsidies implicates the state in both economic and environmental costs, fostering inefficiencies, profligate practices, and unwarranted consumption. A stark illustration is observed in the fishing industry, where global subsidies amounting to $27.43 billion annually significantly contribute to overfishing, resulting in substantial economic ramifications and an estimated annual loss of approximately $50.71 billion.

The economic and environmental repercussions stemming from fossil fuel subsidies, approximated at a staggering $557 billion worldwide in 2008, present a substantial impediment to the advancement of renewable energy alternatives. Undertaking a comprehensive restructuring of the subsidy system necessitates meticulous attention to the most susceptible segments of the population. The intricate task of eliminating subsidies, given entrenched interests, calls for the adoption of incremental reform strategies. Initiatives such as targeted consumption subsidies for the impoverished and the reallocation of funds to critical sectors like health and education could mitigate adverse outcomes and contribute to a more sustainable trajectory.

Tax and market instruments emerge as effective tools for promoting investment in the green economy by rectifying existing price imbalances that hinder green investments. In several economic sectors, such as transportation, where negative externalities like environmental pollution and health deterioration are not adequately reflected in costs, taxes can serve as potent incentives for transitioning towards more sustainable goods and services. Corrective taxes, payments, or fees, and market-based instruments such as permits with the right to sell can help internalize the incidental costs associated with environmental degradation. In conclusion, taxes offer compelling incentives for reducing emissions and promoting more efficient use of natural resources.

Result & Discussion

The move towards a green economy demands the state's proficiency in analyzing challenges, identifying opportunities, prioritizing intervention areas, mobilizing resources, implementing policies, and evaluating progress. The effective application of environmental levies, notably successful in several developing nations, presents a multifaceted administrative challenge, necessitating the strengthening of governmental administrative capacities. To ensure the sustained momentum of the transition towards a green economy, authorities must skillfully evaluate progress, showcasing proficiency in devising indicators, collecting and analyzing data, and interpreting results—essential components for informed policy development.

Ensuring the workforce is adequately prepared for the economic shift towards a "green"

status involves the strategic implementation of training and continuous education programmes. The inherent restructuring associated with a green economy mandates the adoption of tailored measures to facilitate a smooth transition for affected workers. Certain sectors may necessitate targeted support in transitioning their workforce to new roles, exemplified by the retraining of fishers for alternative professions, including active participation in the restoration of fish stocks. The pronounced shortage of skilled workers in critical sectors, as observed in Germany's renewable power industry, underscores the imperative for substantial investments in workforce retraining, particularly in fields such as hydropower and renewable energy engineering.

The proactive engagement of governments in international processes plays a pivotal role in promoting cohesive action and collaboration to advance the green economy. The upcoming UN Conference on Sustainable Development holds a pivotal position as a platform for the international community to lend its support to initiatives aimed at fostering a green economy, particularly with its thematic emphasis on "green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication." The success of this endeavour hinges on the collaborative endeavors of state authorities, businesses, international organizations, and various stakeholders, collectively propelling the transition towards a sustainable and environmentally conscious economic paradigm.

The influence of the international trade system on activities within the green economy is profound, acting as both a facilitator and a hindrance to the movement of green goods, technologies, and investments. When environmental resources are adequately priced at the national level, this system empowers countries to judiciously exploit their comparative advantages, fostering sustainable benefits for both exporting and importing nations. Measures directly related to trade, such as standardization, possess the potential to invigorate growth in sectors aligned with the green economy. However, these measures can concurrently be perceived as obstacles to market access or indicative of trade protectionism. Consequently, the imperative lies in striking an optimal equilibrium between initiatives aimed at environmental protection and the imperative for market access. Navigating this intricate landscape requires nations to tactically harmonize the preservation of environmental concerns with the facilitation of unhindered market entry, acknowledging the multifaceted challenges inherent in this dynamic interplay.

Conclusion

In broad terms, it can be deduced that the green economy prioritizes the valuation and investment in natural capital, recognizing its intrinsic and extrinsic value. The conservation and enhancement of ecological services contribute not only to social stability but also to increased revenues, particularly in rural areas. Environmentally sustainable agricultural practices are instrumental in significantly boosting productivity on non-commodity farms. Furthermore, initiatives aimed at improving access to clean water and sanitation, coupled with the integration of decentralized energy sources such as solar and biomass, hold considerable potential for poverty alleviation within the framework of a green economy paradigm.

The green economy model emphasizes the sustainable management of natural resources, highlighting the importance of preserving biodiversity and ecosystem services. By

maintaining and enhancing these ecological services, communities can achieve greater social stability and economic resilience. In rural areas, where livelihoods are often closely tied to natural resources, this approach can lead to increased income and improved quality of life.
Environmentally sustainable agricultural practices, such as organic farming, agroforestry, and conservation agriculture, are pivotal in enhancing productivity and sustainability on non-commodity farms. These practices reduce dependency on chemical inputs, improve soil health, and increase crop yields, contributing to food security and rural development.
Access to clean water and sanitation is a fundamental aspect of human well-being and poverty reduction. Initiatives that focus on improving water quality, expanding sanitation facilities, and ensuring reliable access to these essential services are crucial components of a green economy. These efforts not only improve public health but also enhance economic opportunities by reducing time and energy spent on water collection and illness management.
The integration of decentralized energy sources, such as solar and biomass, into the energy mix offers a sustainable solution to energy poverty. By providing reliable and affordable energy, these sources empower rural communities, support small businesses, and enable the development of local industries. This decentralized approach reduces dependency on fossil fuels, mitigates environmental impact, and fosters resilience against energy market fluctuations.
Overall, the green economy paradigm advocates for a holistic approach to development that intertwines environmental sustainability with economic and social well-being. By valuing and investing in natural capital, adopting sustainable agricultural practices, and improving access to essential services, the green economy can play a transformative role in poverty alleviation and sustainable development.

REFERENCES

1. Adnan, M., Wang, Q., Sohu, N., Du, S., He, H., Peng, Z., ... & Bai, C. (2023). DFT Investigation of the Structural, Electronic, and Optical Properties of AsTi (B i)-Phase ZnO under Pressure for Optoelectronic Applications. Materials, 16(21), 6981.
2. Ahmed, F., Ali, Z., Khan, M. S., & Mullazai, M. (2023). A syntactic analysis of compound noun phrase of Balochi within the perspective of X-bar theory. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(3), 289-304.
3. Ali, S. J., & Zardari, H. A. (2023). Breaking the Barriers: Investigating the Crucial Role of Female Leaders in Overcoming Entrepreneurial Challenges. Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management, and Innovation, 5(2), 187-200.
4. Ali, Z., Khan, M. S., Zardari, H. A., & Jalbani, M. N. (2023). Acoustic Analysis Of Lasi Accented English Vowels: A Comparative Study. Kurdish Studies, 11(3), 986-1002.
5. Barney, J. B. (2001). Resource-based theories of competitive advantage: A ten-year retrospective on the resource-based view. Journal of Management, 27(6), 643-650. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0149-2063(01)00115-5
6. Boretsky, E., & Egorova, M. (2016). IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 132(1), 012018.
7. Chen, H., Jia, B., & Lau, S.S.Y. (2008). Sustainable urban form for Chinese compact cities: Challenges of a rapid urbanized economy. Habitat International, 32(1), 28-40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.habitatint.2007.06.005
8. Dell'Anna, F. (2021). Green jobs and energy efficiency as strategies for economic growth and the

reduction of environmental impacts. Energy Policy, 149, 112031. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2020.112031
9. Dotsenko, E., Ezdina, N., & Mudrova, S. (2018). E3S Web of Conferences, 41, 04050.
10. Egorova, M., Garanina, O., Petrova, S., & Okhrimenko, I. (2019). Opcion, 35(22), 1446-1474.
11. Egorova, M., Kamaeva, R., Kvasnikova, T., & Kashapova, L. (2019). Opcion, 35(23), 563-580.
12. Khan, M. S., Rahpoto, M. S., & Mangnejo, G. M. (2020). The effect of the financial crisis on corporal well-being: Apparent impact matters: Assessment of contagion to developing economies. *Research Journal of Social Sciences and Economics Review*, 1(3), 232-238.
13. Khan, M. S., Rahpoto, M. S., & Talpur, U. (2021). The effect of the financial crisis on corporal well-being: Apparent impact matters. In *Internet of Everything and Big Data* (pp. 25-34). CRC Press.
14. Khan, M. S., Wang, J., Memon, A. A., & Muhammad, T. (2024). Investigating the Enhanced Cooling Performance of Ternary Hybrid Nanofluids in a Three-Dimensional Annulus-Type Photovoltaic Thermal System for Sustainable Energy Efficiency. Case Studies in Thermal Engineering, 104700.
15. Khoso, A. A. K., Pathan, M. S. K., & Ahmed, M. (2022). Exploring the impacts and aftershocks of COVID-19 on Islamic banking and conventional banking in Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 179-192.
16. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2021). The role of Islamic banking industry in the perspective of global financial sector and its impact in Pakistan's economic growth. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 2(2), 81-91.
17. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2023). The mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between organizational culture and employee commitment in Islamic banking. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 13-30.
18. Khoso, A. A., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2023). The Mediating Role of Job Satisfaction in The Relationship Between Organizational Culture and Employee Commitment in Islamic Banking. International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 4(2), 13-30.
19. Khoso, A. A., Ahmed, M., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). Customer satisfaction standards according to Islamic and conventional banking system in Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 3(2), 185-194.
20. Khowaja, I. A., Talpur, U., Soomro, S. H., & Khan, M. S. (2021). The non-banking financial institutions in perspective of economic growth of Pakistan. *Applied Economics Letters*, 28(8), 701-706.
21. Kiseleva, T. V., & Mikhailov, V. G. (2018). Economics and Innovation Management, 4, 70-78. https://doi.org/10.26730/2587-5574-2018-4-70-78
22. Korkachev, V. A., & Koryakov, A. G. (2019). Economics and Innovation Management, 3, 78-87. https://doi.org/10.26730/2587-5574-2019-3-78-87
23. Lee, C.-C., Wang, C.-W., Hong, P.-H., & Lin, W. (2024). Environmental policy stringency and bank risks: Does green economy matter? International Review of Financial Analysis, 91, 103040. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.irfa.2023.103040
24. Li, J., Meng, G., Liu, J., & Li, Z. (2023). Value chain specialization and green economy performance: China's regional evidence. Environmental Impact Assessment Review, 103, 107217. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eiar.2023.107217
25. Memon, A., & Khan, M. S. (2019). Industry academia linkages of Jamshoro universities: The case of University of Sindh, Mehran University of Engineering and Technology & Liaquat University of Medical and Health Sciences. *Mediterranean Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences (MJBAS)*, 3(3), 13-52.
26. Muhammad, S. K. P. (2023). The influence of organizational culture on employee commitment and turnover intentions: A study of the importance of positive culture for retaining employees. *Global Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences (GRJMSS)*, 1(1), 85-94.
27. Mullazai, M., Ali, Z., Khan, M. S., & Ahmed, F. (2023). Agent and theme theta roles in Balochi: A morphosemantic analysis. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*,

4(3), 332-347.
28. Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). The impact of emotional intelligence on leadership effectiveness. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(3), 1-7.
29. Pathan, M. S. K. (2022). The influence of organizational culture on employee commitment and turnover intentions. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(4), 34-43.
30. Pathan, M. S. K. (2023). Assessing the mediating role of job satisfaction in the relationship between organizational culture and employee commitment. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 4(1), 1-11.
31. Pathan, M. S. K., & Khoso, A. A. (2023). Misfortune tragedy findings in Pakistan: A public learning perspective on virtue of economic recovery mindset. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 1-12.
32. Pathan, M. S. K., Khoso, A. A., & Ahmed, M. (2022). Digital model anecdotes through artificial intelligence in socioeconomic and Islamic investments. *International Research Journal of Education and Innovation*, 3(2), 195-209.
33. Pathan, M. S., Ahmed, M., & Khoso, A. A. (2022). Islamic banking under vision of green finance: The case of development, ecosystem and prospects. *International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, 3(1), 193-210.
34. Rahat, S., & Pathan, M. S. K. (2021). Sustainable climate approach and in context of environment economy: A classical analyze matters. *Neutron*, 21(1), 40-45.
35. Rahko, J., & Alola, A. A. (2024). Examining green productivity amidst climate change technological development and spillovers in the Nordic economies. Journal of Cleaner Production, 434, 140028. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2023.140028
36. Shah, B., Gujar, M. A., & Sohu, N. U. (2018). The impact of working capital management on profitability: case study of pharmaceutical and chemical firms listed on Karachi stock exchange. International Journal of Economics, Commerce and Management, 6(3), 200-220.
37. Sharif, A., Brahim, M., Dogan, E., & Tzeremes, P. (2023). Analysis of the spillover effects between green economy, clean and dirty cryptocurrencies. Energy Economics, 120, 106594. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eneco.2023.106594
38. Shavina, E. V. (2019). Economics and Innovation Management, 2, 18-31. https://doi.org/10.26730/2587-5574-2019-2-18-31
39. Shavina, E. V. (2019). Economics and Innovation Management, 3, 4-13. https://doi.org/10.26730/2587-5574-2019-3-4-13
40. Siddiqui, M. M. A., Sohu, M. N. U., & Zardari, M. H. A. (2023). Cyber Security and quality education: Recent Cyber-Attacks as a Challenge to National Economic Security. International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 4(1), 32-52.
41. Sohu, M. N. U., Zardari, M. H. A., & Ali, S. J. (2022). Analyzing Job Satisfaction Among Government College University Hyderabad Employees: The Role of Organizational Leadership, Development, And Innovation Commitments. International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 3(2), 229-240.
42. Sohu, N., Zardari, N. A., Rahu, M. A., Mirani, A. A., & Phulpoto, N. H. (2019). Spectrum Sensing in ISM Band Using Cognitive Radio. Quaid-E-Awam University Research Journal of Engineering, Science & Technology, Nawabshah, 17(01), 21-27.
43. Spesivtsev, A. L., Aristova, E. V., Pluzhnik, M. V., Egorova, M. S., & Varlacheva, N. V. (2015). Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences, 6(2S4), 35-46.
44. Telukdarie, A., Katsumbe, T., Mahure, H., & Murulane, K. (2024). Exploring the green economy – A systems thinking modelling approach. Journal of Cleaner Production, 436, 140611. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.140611
45. Volkova, A. L., & Korneva, O. U. (2019). Economics and Innovation Management, 2, 32-38.

https://doi.org/10.26730/2587-5574-2019-2-32-38

46. Zardari, H. A., & Ali, S. J. (2023). Empowering Future Entrepreneurs: Exploring Teachers' Perceptions and Practices in Entrepreneurship Education. Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management, and Innovation, 5(2), 280-288.
47. Zardari, H., & Ali, S. J. (2023). Driving Innovation: Role of Higher Education Leaders in Establishing Entrepreneurial Universities. Journal of Entrepreneurship, Management, and Innovation, 5(1), 159-170.
48. Zardari, M. A., & Zardari, M. H. A. (2023). Entrepreneurship and Management Perspectives on the Devolution of Powers After the 18th Amendment in the Constitution of the Islamic Republic of Pakistan 1973: Issues and Challenges Confronted by Cultural Heritage. International Research Journal of Management and Social Sciences, 4(1), 53-79.
49. Zhironkin, S., Aleshina, O., Gorev, V., Gunyakov, Y., & Zhironkina, O. (2019). E3S Web of Conferences, 105, 04001.
50. Zhironkin, S., Demchenko, S., Kayachev, G., Taran, E., & Zhironkina, O. (2019). E3S Web of Conferences, 105, 03008.